# Sparse Approximate Solutions to Max-Plus Equations

### Nikos Tsilivis<sup>1</sup>, Anastasios Tsiamis<sup>2</sup>, Petros Maragos<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of ECE, National Technical University of Athens, Greece

<sup>2</sup>ESE Department, SEAS, University of Pennsylvania, USA

May 27, 2021







## Theory

Application to Morphological neural networks pruning



Inverse problems: We observe a vector b as linear measurements of an unknown quantity x through a system A. We want to recover the initial information.
 Problem: Possibly infinite candidates that explain the data.

- Inverse problems: We observe a vector b as linear measurements of an unknown quantity x through a system A. We want to recover the initial information.
   Problem: Possibly infinite candidates that explain the data.
- Efficient representations: Consider a signal ∈ ℝ<sup>m</sup>. Storing it with only k values, k << m? Idea: the signal may be really simple computed in a different basis! (e.g. DFT of cosines: only 2 non zero values). How to find the suitable basis? and how to compute the simple signal in this basis?</li>

- Inverse problems: We observe a vector b as linear measurements of an unknown quantity x through a system A. We want to recover the initial information.
   Problem: Possibly infinite candidates that explain the data.
- Efficient representations: Consider a signal ∈ ℝ<sup>m</sup>. Storing it with only k values, k << m? Idea: the signal may be really simple computed in a different basis! (e.g. DFT of cosines: only 2 non zero values). How to find the suitable basis? and how to compute the simple signal in this basis?

Core of the problem:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & a_{m3} & \dots & a_{mn} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_m \end{pmatrix}$$

- Inverse problems: We observe a vector b as linear measurements of an unknown quantity x through a system A. We want to recover the initial information.
   Problem: Possibly infinite candidates that explain the data.
- Efficient representations: Consider a signal ∈ ℝ<sup>m</sup>. Storing it with only k values, k << m? Idea: the signal may be really simple computed in a different basis! (e.g. DFT of cosines: only 2 non zero values). How to find the suitable basis? and how to compute the simple signal in this basis?

Core of the problem:



What is the solution with the least non zero elements? The most sparse?

Morphological signal and image analysis.

Morphological signal and image analysis.

Discrete event systems.

Morphological signal and image analysis.

Discrete event systems.

Optimal control and Dynamic programming.

Morphological signal and image analysis.

Discrete event systems.

Optimal control and Dynamic programming.

$$b_1 = \max(a_{11} + x_1, a_{12} + x_2, \dots, a_{1n} + x_n),$$
  

$$b_2 = \max(a_{21} + x_1, a_{22} + x_2, \dots, a_{2n} + x_n)$$

Morphological signal and image analysis.

Discrete event systems.

Optimal control and Dynamic programming.

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & a_{m3} & \dots & a_{mn} \end{pmatrix} \boxplus \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_m \end{pmatrix}$$

/ \

Morphological signal and image analysis.

Discrete event systems.

Optimal control and Dynamic programming.

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & a_{m2} & a_{m3} & \dots & a_{mn} \end{pmatrix} \boxplus \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_m \end{pmatrix}$$

/ \

What is the solution with the least number of non  $-\infty$  elements? The most sparse solution?

• Contributions:

• Related Work:

- Contributions:
  - Sparse approximate solutions as a discrete optimization problem.
- Related Work:

- Contributions:
  - Sparse approximate solutions as a discrete optimization problem.
  - Analysis of the *submodular* properties of the corresponding problems.
- Related Work:

- Contributions:
  - Sparse approximate solutions as a discrete optimization problem.
  - Analysis of the submodular properties of the corresponding problems.
  - Sparsity might be relevant to modern machine learning applications.
- Related Work:

- Contributions:
  - Sparse approximate solutions as a discrete optimization problem.
  - Analysis of the submodular properties of the corresponding problems.
  - Sparsity might be relevant to modern machine learning applications.
- Related Work:
  - Connection between max-plus equations & discrete optimization (min set cover) [Butkovič 2013].

- Contributions:
  - Sparse approximate solutions as a discrete optimization problem.
  - Analysis of the submodular properties of the corresponding problems.
  - Sparsity might be relevant to modern machine learning applications.
- Related Work:
  - Connection between max-plus equations & discrete optimization (min set cover) [Butkovič 2013].
  - $\circ\,$  [Gaubert et. al. 2011] Pruning in optimal control related to sparse  $\ell_1$  approximate solutions.

- Contributions:
  - Sparse approximate solutions as a discrete optimization problem.
  - Analysis of the submodular properties of the corresponding problems.
  - Sparsity might be relevant to modern machine learning applications.
- Related Work:
  - Connection between max-plus equations & discrete optimization (min set cover) [Butkovič 2013].
  - $\circ\,$  [Gaubert et. al. 2011] Pruning in optimal control related to sparse  $\ell_1$  approximate solutions.
  - [Tsiamis & Maragos 2019] introduced the concept of sparsity in max-plus algebra.

- Values from  $\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\}$ .
- Max-plus and min-plus products:

$$[\mathbf{A} \boxplus \mathbf{x}]_{i} \triangleq \bigvee_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik} + x_{k}, \ [\mathbf{A} \boxplus' \mathbf{x}]_{i} \triangleq \bigwedge_{k=1}^{n} a_{ik} + x_{k}$$

- A max-plus equation  $\mathbf{A} \boxplus \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  has a solution iff  $\hat{\mathbf{x}} = -\mathbf{A}^{\mathsf{T}} \boxplus' \mathbf{b}$  (principal solution) satisfies it.
- $\mathbf{A} \boxplus \mathbf{\hat{x}} \leq \mathbf{b}$ .

## Definition (Submodular)

A set function  $f : 2^U \to \mathbb{R}$  is called *submodular* if  $\forall A \subseteq B \subseteq U$ ,  $k \notin B$  holds:

 $f(A \cup \{k\}) - f(A) \ge f(B \cup \{k\}) - f(B).$ 

## Definition (Submodular)

A set function  $f : 2^U \to \mathbb{R}$  is called *submodular* if  $\forall A \subseteq B \subseteq U$ ,  $k \notin B$  holds:

 $f(A \cup \{k\}) - f(A) \ge f(B \cup \{k\}) - f(B).$ 



Figure: [Liu et al. 2019]

### Generalization of submodularity

Definition (Submodularity ratio of an increasing, non-negative function [Das & Kempe 2018])

$$\gamma_{U,k}(f) \triangleq \min_{L \subseteq U, S: |S| \le k, S \cap L = \emptyset} \frac{\sum_{x \in S} f(L \cup \{x\}) - f(L)}{f(L \cup S) - f(L)}$$

### Generalization of submodularity

Definition (Submodularity ratio of an increasing, non-negative function [Das & Kempe 2018])

$$\gamma_{U,k}(f) \triangleq \min_{L \subseteq U, S: |S| \le k, S \cap L = \emptyset} \frac{\sum_{x \in S} f(L \cup \{x\}) - f(L)}{f(L \cup S) - f(L)}$$

### Proposition

An increasing function  $f : 2^U \to \mathbb{R}$  is submodular if and only if  $\gamma_{U,k}(f) \ge 1, \forall U, k$ .

We call a vector **x** sparse if it contains many  $-\infty$  elements.

We call a vector **x** sparse if it contains many  $-\infty$  elements.

### Definition (Support set)

The support set of a vector is the set of indices of its values that are not equal to  $-\infty$ , that is: supp $(\mathbf{x}) = \{j \mid x_j \neq -\infty\}$ .

e.g.  $|\operatorname{supp}(1, 4, -\infty, -2, 0, 0)| = 5$ 

We call a vector **x** sparse if it contains many  $-\infty$  elements.

### Definition (Support set)

The support set of a vector is the set of indices of its values that are not equal to  $-\infty$ , that is: supp $(\mathbf{x}) = \{j \mid x_j \neq -\infty\}$ .

e.g.  $|supp(1, 4, -\infty, -2, 0, 0)| = 5$ 

#### Theorem (Tsiamis & Maragos 2019)

Computing the sparsest solution of  $\mathbf{A} \boxplus \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  is an NP-complete problem.

We call a vector **x** sparse if it contains many  $-\infty$  elements.

### Definition (Support set)

The support set of a vector is the set of indices of its values that are not equal to  $-\infty$ , that is: supp $(\mathbf{x}) = \{j \mid x_j \neq -\infty\}$ .

e.g.  $|supp(1, 4, -\infty, -2, 0, 0)| = 5$ 

#### Theorem (Tsiamis & Maragos 2019)

Computing the sparsest solution of  $\mathbf{A} \boxplus \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$  is an NP-complete problem.

essentially: Minimum Set Cover.

### Problem formulation

$$\begin{split} & \underset{\mathbf{x}}{\arg\min}|\text{supp}(\mathbf{x})| \\ & \text{s.t. } \|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A} \boxplus \mathbf{x}\|_{p}^{p} \leq \epsilon, \\ & \mathbf{A} \boxplus \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}. \end{split}$$

### Problem formulation

$$\begin{split} & \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}} |\text{supp}(\mathbf{x})| \\ & \text{s.t. } \|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A} \boxplus \mathbf{x}\|_{\rho}^{\rho} \leq \epsilon, \\ & \mathbf{A} \boxplus \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}. \end{split}$$

#### Notes

- We restrict the  $\ell_p$ ,  $p < \infty$ , error to be small.
- We add an extra constraint  $\mathbf{A} \boxplus \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}$ .
- Observe that for  $\epsilon = 0$  reduces to an  $\mathcal{NP}$ -complete problem.
- The case p = 1 was examined in [Tsiamis & Maragos 2019].

## Problem formulation

$$\begin{aligned} & \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}} |\operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{x})| \\ & \text{s.t. } \|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A} \boxplus \mathbf{x}\|_{p}^{p} \leq \epsilon, \\ & \mathbf{A} \boxplus \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}. \end{aligned}$$
(1

a

## Problem formulation

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{rg\,min}_{\times} |\operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{x})| \\ & \operatorname{s.t.} \|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A} \boxplus \mathbf{x}\|_{p}^{p} \leq \epsilon, \\ & \mathbf{A} \boxplus \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}. \end{aligned}$$

## Proposition (Informal)

We can fix the values of x to be equal to those of  $\hat{x},$  and search only over the support set T.

(1)

а

## Problem formulation

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{rg\,min}_{\times} |\operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{x})| \\ & \operatorname{s.t.} \|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A} \boxplus \mathbf{x}\|_{p}^{p} \leq \epsilon, \\ & \mathbf{A} \boxplus \mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}. \end{aligned}$$

## Proposition (Informal)

We can fix the values of x to be equal to those of  $\hat{x},$  and search only over the support set  $\mathcal{T}.$ 

### New Problem formulation

$$\arg\min_{T} |\mathcal{T}|$$
  
s.t.  $E_{p}(\mathcal{T}) \leq$ 

 $\epsilon$ 

(1)

#### Theorem

Error function  $E_p$  is decreasing and supermodular.

#### Theorem

Error function  $E_p$  is decreasing and supermodular.

#### Notes

- Proof leverages the submodularity ratio which clarifies the analysis.
- Problem becomes: Cardinality minimization problem subject to a supermodular equality constraint ⇒ Fast greedy algorithm works!

Algorithm 1: Approximate solution of problem (1)

Input: A, b Compute  $\hat{\mathbf{x}} = (-\mathbf{A})^{\mathsf{T}} \boxplus' \mathbf{b}$ if  $E_{\rho}(J) > \epsilon$  then | return Infeasible Set  $T_0 = \emptyset, k = 0$ while  $E_{\rho}(T_k) > \epsilon$  do |  $j = \arg\min_{s \in J \setminus T_k} E_{\rho}(T_k \cup \{s\})$   $T_{k+1} = T_k \cup \{j\}$  k = k + 1end  $x_j = \hat{x}_j, j \in T_k \text{ and } x_j = -\infty$ , otherwise return x,  $T_k$ 

Time complexity:  $\mathcal{O}(nm + n^2)$ Approximation ratio:  $\mathcal{O}(\log(m\Delta^p))$ ,  $\Delta = \bigvee_{i,i} (b_i - A_{ij} - \hat{x}_j)$ . Searching only for approximate sub-solutions is restrictive. Can we overcome this?

We shift our attention to the  $\ell_{\infty}$  norm.

Searching only for approximate sub-solutions is restrictive. Can we overcome this?

We shift our attention to the  $\ell_{\infty}$  norm.

```
\arg\min_{\mathbf{x}}|\operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{x})|
s.t. \|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A} \boxplus \mathbf{x}\|_{\infty} \le \epsilon, \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}.
```

Searching only for approximate sub-solutions is restrictive. Can we overcome this?

We shift our attention to the  $\boldsymbol{\ell}_\infty$  norm.

 $\underset{\times}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} |\operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{x})|$ s.t.  $\|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A} \boxplus \mathbf{x}\|_{\infty} \leq \epsilon, \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}.$ 

Proposition (Informal)

Problem (2) can also be written as a set-search problem.

Searching only for approximate sub-solutions is restrictive. Can we overcome this?

We shift our attention to the  $\boldsymbol{\ell}_\infty$  norm.

 $\underset{\times}{\operatorname{arg min}} |\operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{x})|$ s.t.  $\|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A} \boxplus \mathbf{x}\|_{\infty} \leq \epsilon, \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}.$ 

Proposition (Informal)

Problem (2) can also be written as a set-search problem.

#### New Problem formulation

$$rg \min_{\mathcal{T}} |\mathcal{T}|$$
s.t.  $E_{\infty}(\mathcal{T}) \leq \epsilon$ 

Searching only for approximate sub-solutions is restrictive. Can we overcome this?

We shift our attention to the  $\boldsymbol{\ell}_\infty$  norm.

 $\begin{aligned} & \arg\min_{\mathbf{x}} |\text{supp}(\mathbf{x})| \\ & \text{s.t. } \|\mathbf{b} - \mathbf{A} \boxplus \mathbf{x}\|_{\infty} \leq \epsilon, \mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}. \end{aligned}$ 

Proposition (Informal)

Problem (2) can also be written as a set-search problem.

#### New Problem formulation

$$rg \min_{\mathcal{T}} |\mathcal{T}|$$
s.t.  $E_\infty(\mathcal{T}) \leq \epsilon$ 

#### Unfortunately ..

Nikos Tsilivis , Anastasios Tsiamis , Petros Maragos

Proposal: Solve the  $\ell_p$  problem greedily and add to the solution the half of its  $\ell_{\infty}$  error.

Proposal: Solve the  $\ell_p$  problem greedily and add to the solution the half of its  $\ell_{\infty}$  error.

# Proposition If $\mathbf{x}_{p,\epsilon}$ is a solution of $\ell_p$ Problem (1), then $\mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{x}_{p,\epsilon} + \frac{\|\mathbf{b} - A \boxplus \mathbf{x}_{p,\epsilon}\|_{\infty}}{2}$ has the smallest $\ell_{\infty}$

error over **all** sparse vectors with the same support set T.

Proposal: Solve the  $\ell_p$  problem greedily and add to the solution the half of its  $\ell_\infty$  error.

#### Proposition

If  $\mathbf{x}_{p,\epsilon}$  is a solution of  $\ell_p$  Problem (1), then  $\mathbf{x}^* = \mathbf{x}_{p,\epsilon} + \frac{\|\mathbf{b} - A \boxplus \mathbf{x}_{p,\epsilon}\|_{\infty}}{2}$  has the smallest  $\ell_{\infty}$  error over **all** sparse vectors with the same support set T.

Computational overhead:  $\mathcal{O}(m|\mathcal{T}|)$ 

• Neural networks with layers that perform Morphological Operations, such as dilations and erosions [Ritter & Sussner 1996].

- Neural networks with layers that perform Morphological Operations, such as dilations and erosions [Ritter & Sussner 1996].
- Recent studies have highlighted their ability to be pruned effectively and produce interpretable models [Charisopoulos & Maragos 2017, Zhang et al. 2019].

- Neural networks with layers that perform Morphological Operations, such as dilations and erosions [Ritter & Sussner 1996].
- Recent studies have highlighted their ability to be pruned effectively and produce interpretable models [Charisopoulos & Maragos 2017, Zhang et al. 2019].



Consider a simple two layer network that performs a linear transformation followed by a dilation (*max-plus block* [Zhang et al. 2019]):

 $\mathbf{z} = \mathbf{W}\mathbf{x},$  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{A} \boxplus \mathbf{z}.$ 

## Neuron pruning as a sparsity problem

Consider a simple two layer network that performs a linear transformation followed by a dilation (*max-plus block* [Zhang et al. 2019]):

z = Wx,  $y = A \boxplus z$ .

After training: fix  $\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{A}$  and search for a sparse solution over  $\mathbf{z}$ . Then, keep neurons that correspond to finite values.

## Neuron pruning as a sparsity problem

Consider a simple two layer network that performs a linear transformation followed by a dilation (*max-plus block* [Zhang et al. 2019]):

$$z = Wx$$
,  
 $y = A \boxplus z$ 

After training: fix  $\mathbf{y}$ ,  $\mathbf{A}$  and search for a sparse solution over  $\mathbf{z}$ . Then, keep neurons that correspond to finite values.



- 2 networks of 64 and 128 neurons, trained for 20 epochs, with SGD.
- We are able to find the 10 most important neurons automatically and prune the rest of them (recording same accuracy).

	MNIST		FashionMNIST	
	64	128	64	128
Full model	92.21	92.17	79.27	83.37
Pruned $(n = 10)$	92.21	92.17	79.27	83.37

Table: Test set accuracy before and after pruning.

# Conclusion & Future work

• Sparsity in max-plus algebra.

# Conclusion & Future work

- Sparsity in max-plus algebra.
- Analysis of the submodular structure of the problems ⇒ also relevant to other problems in max-plus algebra (unconstrained optimal l<sub>2</sub> approximations).

- Sparsity in max-plus algebra.
- Analysis of the submodular structure of the problems ⇒ also relevant to other problems in max-plus algebra (unconstrained optimal l<sub>2</sub> approximations).
- Sparse framework to assist advances in training and optimizing morphological models.

- Sparsity in max-plus algebra.
- Analysis of the submodular structure of the problems ⇒ also relevant to **other** problems in max-plus algebra (unconstrained optimal ℓ<sub>2</sub> approximations).
- Sparse framework to assist advances in training and optimizing morphological models.

**Future work**: A complete sparse representation theory in complete lattices with efficient algorithms for sparse approximate solutions to max-plus, max-min, smooth idempotent spaces and more.

- Sparsity in max-plus algebra.
- Analysis of the submodular structure of the problems ⇒ also relevant to **other** problems in max-plus algebra (unconstrained optimal ℓ<sub>2</sub> approximations).
- Sparse framework to assist advances in training and optimizing morphological models.

**Future work**: A complete sparse representation theory in complete lattices with efficient algorithms for sparse approximate solutions to max-plus, max-min, smooth idempotent spaces and more.

Thank you for your attention!